# The Effect Of Folic Acid On The Newborn Of Pregnant Women At Al-Najaf Government

تأثير حامض الفوليك على المواليد للنساء الحوامل في محافظة النجف الأشرف

Ruqayah Ali Salman/Assistant Lecturer, M.sc., Community Health Department, College of Health and Medical Technology/kufa, Foundation of **Technical Education** 

Shukriyia Shedhan Chyad/ Assistant Professor, PhD, College of Health and Medical Technology/kufa, Foundation of Technical Education.

Abdulhadi Sallal Mohammed/ Professor, PhD, College of Health and Medical Technology/kufa, Foundation of Technical Education

#### Rokavaali84@vahoo.com

الخلاصة

الهدف: تم اجراء دراسة تحليلية مقطعية لغرض التعرف على تاثير حامض الفوليك على مواليد النساء الحوامل في محافظة النجف الاشرف وإيجاد الفروق المعنوية ذات الدلالة الإحصائية بين العوامل المرتبطة بالموضوع مع حامض الفوليك.

المنهجية: اختيرت عينة غرضية متكونة من ( 1054) امراة حامل اللائي يتعاطين حامض الفوليك بجر عة ( 5mg) طيلة مدة الحمل من المراجعات لمستشفى الزهراء للنسائية والاطفال في محافظة النجف الأشرف، جمعت المعلومات بطريقة المقابلة الشخصية مع الأمهات.

صممت الاستمارة الاستبيانية والتي تكونت من (٣) اجزاء شملت المعلومات الديمغر أفية للعينة، المعلومات الإنجابية للعينة، معلومات متعلقة بحامض الفوليك والتشو هات الخلقية، وتم استخدام الاحصاء الوصفى والاستنتاجي لتحليل البيانات.

النتائج: تشير نتائج الدراسة ان النسبة المئوية للولادات الطبيعية (%3.8) ، بينما كانت النسبة (%58.8) للولادات القيصرية. في الولادة الطبيعية كانت النسبة المئوية للولادات المشوهة (%1.2)، بينما كانت النسبة المئوية (%0.9) للولادات المشوهة في الولادة القيصرية واللاتي يتعاطين حامض الفو ليك.

تشير نتائج الراسة ان معظم النساء هن من الفئة العمرية (21-25) سنة ومعظمهن (640) امراة ربات بيوت، كذلك وجود فروقات معنوية بين تناول الفوليك اسد والتشوهات الخلقية.

الاستنتاجات: أستنتجت الدراسة ان هناك دلالة احصائية ذات مستوى معنوى بين تناول الفوليك اسد والتشوهات الخلقية.

التوصيات؛وطبقا للنتائج اوصت الدراسة بالتاكيد على العناية المبكرة خلال قترة الحمل والتثقيف الصحى وتحسين نوعية الخدمات المقدمة للامهات خلال فترة الحمل على أن يأخذ الفريق الصحى دوره في هذا المجال لتقليل نسبة التشوهات الخلفية للأجنة وذلك بتناول حامض الفوليك اسد.

#### Abstract

Objective: Across section analytic study was carried out to identify the effect of folic acid on birth of pregnant women at Al-Najaf government and to determine the statistical significant differences between folic acid and some variables.

Methodology: A purposive sample of (1054) of pregnant women was selected from Al-Zahra maturity pediatric hospital, data was collected through the interview of women. Question forma was designed and contained (3) parts demographic variables, reproductive variables and variables related to effect of folic acid.

**Results:** Descriptive and in fevential statistical procedures were used to analyze the data. The result of the study revealed that the age of women was ranged between (21-25) years and the highest percentage of them house wife (640). The result indicate that a significant association between takes folic acid and congenital anomalies.

The present study was revealed that the percent of normal deliveries (38.9%), while in cesarean sections (58.8%). In normal deliveries the percent of congenital anomalies was (1.2%), and the percent of congenital anomalies in cesarean sections was (0.9%).

Conclusion: The study concluded that there was a significant difference between taking folic acid and congenital anomalies

Recommendation: According to these finding it is recommended to emphasize on prenatal care early as possible and education improve health services presented to the mother during pregnancy that the health team must take the role in reducing the incidence of congenital anomalies by taking folic acid.

Keywords: Folic acid, Congenital anomalies in pregnant women.

### INTRODUCTION

The use of folic acid has been one of the most important developments in preventing birth defects. An increased intake of folic acid in the periconceptional period reduces the risk of neural tube defects (NTDs) by at least 50%, and other major congenital malformations (MCMs) (1). The previous studies was estimated that at least (5%) of babies are born with some serious congenital anomaly one Of these five babies in 100, two or three will have anomalies that can be recognized prenatally by a non invasive screening test, through invasive diagnostic testing, or at birth, while the other two babies will have developmental or functional anomalies recognized during the first year of their life (2).

The fetus rapidly develops spine and nerve cells in the first few weeks of pregnancy. Inadequate blood levels of folate at this crucial time increase the risk of the babies spine developing a 'neural tube defect', resulting in spinal malformation called spina bifida (3,4). The incidence varies from (1/100) live births in certain regions of China to about (1/5000) live birth in Scandinavian countries, to (2.88 per 1000) births in Gorgan and North of Iran (5). Evaluating such trends in conjunction to those of Neural Tube Defects (NTDs) can help assess the potential protective role of folic acid on a wider range of congenital anomalies (6).

Folic Acid supplementation of approximately (400) micrograms per day ( $\mu$ g/d) from fortified foods, supplements, or both, was recommended for all women at risk of pregnancy, during lactating they need 260  $\mu$ g. The prescription of a higher dose of folic acid (4 mg/day) preconceptually was associated with a (71%) reduction in NTDs (3). The form of folic acid occurring naturally in food is called (folate), the good sources' of folic acid spinach, beans, beef extracts, organs, shell fish, peas and liver (7).

This study was conducted to determine the effect of folic acid use on the risk of congenital anomalies in newborn of women.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present study was involved (1054) pregnant women during three month December, January and February at years (2012,2013), came into Al-Zahra maternity and pediatric teaching hospital at Al-Najaf government. These pregnant women age range between (16-45), examined by specialist physicians to determine gestational age and administration of medication such as folic acid. Questionnaire format was designed and containt (3) part, demographic variables, reproductive variables and variables related to effect of folic acid.

The pregnant women take folic acid during the period of gestation at dose 5 mg/ daily, except the women was suffer from epilepsy, diabetes mellitus , these women took 10 mg of folic acid daily.

Chi-square test and analysis of variance were used for statistical analysis of data (8).

#### **RESULTS**: Table 1: Distribution of study sample according to the demographic data.

| Age   | N <u>O</u> . | Occupation statues | N <u>O</u> . |
|-------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|
| 16-20 | 217          | Housekeeper        | 640          |
| 21-25 | 241          | Employed           | 414          |
| 26-30 | 288          |                    |              |
| 31-35 | 183          |                    |              |
| 36-40 | 67           |                    |              |
| 41-45 | 56           |                    |              |

Table (1) show the Housekeeper are more than the employed.

| Gravida | Primigravida | Congenital | anomalies | Type of delivery |     |  |
|---------|--------------|------------|-----------|------------------|-----|--|
| 3       | 2            | yes        | 23        | N.V.D.           | 424 |  |
| 2       | 1            | No         | 1031      | C.S.             | 630 |  |
| 1       | 0            |            |           |                  |     |  |
| 5       | 4            |            |           |                  |     |  |
| 4       | 3            |            |           |                  |     |  |
| 6       | 5            |            |           |                  |     |  |

Table 2: Distribution of study sample according to Reproductive data.

\*N.V.D. : Normal Vaginal Delivery

\*C.S. :Cesarean section

This table show the numbers of congenital anomalies was 23 case, from 1054, while number of normal pregnant women was 1031, and show the type of delivery.

| Table 3: Type of | congenital | anomalies | for | study | sam | ple. |
|------------------|------------|-----------|-----|-------|-----|------|
|                  |            |           |     |       |     |      |

| Type of congenital anomalies | No. | Visit to doct | or  |
|------------------------------|-----|---------------|-----|
| Congenital heart             | 4   | regular       | 710 |
| Spin bifida                  | 6   | irregular     | 290 |
| Hydrocephalus                | 2   | indeed        | 54  |
| Cleft lip                    | 3   |               |     |
| Microcephaly                 | 1   |               |     |
| Anencephaly                  | 3   |               |     |
| Multiple congenital          | 2   |               |     |
| Ambiguous external gentilia  | 2   |               |     |

This Table show the common type of congenital anomalies in this present study was spin bifids.

| Congenital anomalies | Normal vaginal delivery |      | Cesarear     | section | $X^2$ & P-Value   | Value |
|----------------------|-------------------------|------|--------------|---------|-------------------|-------|
| No                   | N <u>O</u> . %          |      | N <u>O</u> . | %       | $X^2 = P < 0.05$  | 15.2  |
|                      | 411                     | 38.9 | 620          | 58.8    | Significant       |       |
| Yes                  | 13                      | 1.2  | 10           | 0.9     | $X^2$ table=3.841 |       |
| Total                |                         | 1(   | 054          | _       |                   |       |

Table (4) reveals that there was an association between the congenital anomalies and type of delivery in the normal vaginal delivery was (13) while in cesarean section, the number was (10).

| Age<br>(years) | 16-20            | 21                           | -25                     | 26                                | -30                | 31-35                             | 5                  | 36-4                              | 40                 | 41-4                              | 45                 |             |
|----------------|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|
|                |                  | C. A.<br>Number of<br>normal | Number of<br>C. A.      | Number of<br>normal<br>deliveries | Number of<br>C. A. | Number of<br>normal<br>deliveries | Number of<br>C. A. | Number of<br>normal<br>deliveries | Number of<br>C. A. | Number of<br>normal<br>deliveries | Number of<br>C. A. | Total       |
|                | NO. % NO.        | % <mark>N</mark> 0. %        | NO. %                   | NO. %                             | NO. %              | NO. %                             | NO.<br>%           | N <u>O</u> .<br>%                 | N <u>O</u> .<br>%  | N <u>O</u> . %                    | NO.<br>%           | NO.<br>%    |
|                | 213<br>20.7<br>4 | 17.4<br>232<br>22.5          | <mark>9*</mark><br>39.1 | 283<br>77 4                       | 5 217              |                                   | 3<br>13            | 68<br>6.5                         | 1 4.3              | 55<br>5.3                         | 1<br>4.3           | 1054<br>100 |
| Total          | 103<br>1<br>23   | 103<br>1                     | 23                      | 103<br>1                          | 23                 | 103                               | 23                 | 103<br>1                          | 23                 | 103<br>1                          | 23                 | 10          |

Table 5: Statistical difference between age of mother and congenital anomalies.

\*C. A.: Congenital anomalies

Table (5) describes the high percent of congenital anomalies was appeared in age (21-25) year, and low percent in (41-49) year.

#### DISCUSSION

The present study was revealed different congenital malformations that represented by congenital heart diseases, spin bifida, hydrocephalus, microcephalus, cleft lips, anacephalus, ambiguous external gentilia and multiple congenital (table 3).

These malformations may be due to the regular and irregular using folic acid, and perhaps their congenital malformations occurred, because, envirmental pollutions of chemical (lead, uranium), lead due to exhausted of benzene from cars and machines, and uranium pollution due to wars or another reasons, or the pregnant women are give antiepileptic for long acting sulfa (methmprine), oral contraceptive, and other medications (9) such as, chloramphenicol, Phenobarbital, phenytion, primidone and sulfasalazine, these results are corresponding with previous results was done by (1). Folic acid has recognized drug interactions with other commonly used medications such as hypertensive thiazide, digoxin, thyroid hormone, tetracycline and thiazide diuretics (9).

In recent years, the methylation hypothesis has been developed and suggests that folic acid prevents congenital defects, in particular Neural Tube Defects (NTDs), by stimulating cellular methylation reactions (10).

(11) Suggests that despite the existence of scientific evidence on the effectiveness of folic acid supplementation in the prevention of NTDs, and its recommendation by health care authorities. The poor intake of folic acid in our country may be from the following reasons, first, the awareness of the population as to the need to take folic acid. Another reason may be because folic acid is not prescribed in time, doctors may not have a chance to prescribe folic acid since the women do not usually attend apreconceptional counseling in which folic acid could be given.

Our suggestion, the folic acid should take from pregnant women at  $1^{st}$  semester (three month) in order to prevent the congenital malformation of neurula (primordial of nervous system), these suggestion results was agreement with (1,12,9), our finding was identical with current researchers (13,14), they mentioned the benefits of folic acids for prevent the risks of

congenital anomalies (congenital heart defect, urinary tract anomalies, oral cleft, limbs defect and pyloric stenosis.

The present study was revealed that the percent of normal deliveries (38.9%), while in cesarean sections (58.8%). In normal deliveries the percent of congenital anomalies was (1.2%), and the percent of congenital anomalies in cesarean sections was (0.9%). There was a significant association between the normal delivery and congenital anomalies as show in table (4).

The causes of high percent (39.1%) in congenital neonates at (21-25) years old (table 5) may be due to the hormonal imbalances. Our suggest not complete maturation of female reproduction system.

Our finding was coincides with previous worker (9), they reported, the doses of folic acid should be adjusted according to the patients history and requirement. (15)Our finding was importance in cases nutritional factors in addition to genetic role in the etiology of neural tube defects. Despite about two decades past the administration of folic acid in reproductive age women and in programmed pregnancy by the healthy system, some factors such as low doses of consumption, gastrointestinal disorders and lack of knowledge about the importance of folic acid in normal development of fetus can affect the success of this important program.

Women require more vitamins and minerals during pregnancy and supplements can improve their nutritional and haemoglobin status. Supplements also help improve and maintain functional immunity (16).

### **CONCLUSION:**

The study concluded that the pregnant women must take folic acid regular especially in first three month.

#### RECOMMENDATION

- 1-Controlling and improving of nutritional behavior during pregnancy.
- 2-Establishing nutrition counseling center concerned with baseline information about health nutrition contained folic acid for pregnant women with or without risk factor.
- 3-The health team must take the role to advised women to taking folic acid before and during pregnancy.
- 4-Using different mass media to stimulate public awareness about risk factor of congenital anomalies part by malnutrition.
- 5-Further studies should be made to find the national parlance of congenital anomalies.

#### REFERENCES

- 1- Morrow J. I.; Hunt S. J.; Russell A. J.; Smithson W. H.; Parsons L.; Robertson I; Waddell R.; Irwin B.; Morrison P. J. and Craig J. J.(2009). Folic acid use and major congenital malformations in offspring of women with epilepsy: a prospective study from the UK Epilepsy and Pregnancy Register. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry,80:506–511.
- 2-Kohut R. and Rusen I.D.( 2002). Congenital anomalies in Canada. Health Canada: a perinatal health report 2002. Ottawa: Ministry of Public Works and Government Services Canada.
- 3-Gail R. D.(2010). Food Fact Sheet. The British Dietetic Association (BDA).
- 4-Boyles A.B.; Billups A.V.; Deak K.L.; Siegel D.G.; Mehltretter L. and Slifer S.H.(2006). Neural Tube defects and folate pathway genes: family-based association tests of gene-gene and gene-environment interactions. Environ. Health Perspect., 114(10):1547-52.
- 5-Golalipour M.J.; Mobasheri E. and Vakili M.A.( 2007). Epidemiology of neural tube defects in northern Iran, 1998-2003. East Mediterr Health J., 13(3):560-6.

- for congenital anomalies other than neural tube defects. Am. J. Med. Genet. C. Semin. Med. Genet., 125:12–21.
- 7- R. Douglas Wilson(2003). The use of folic acid for the prevention of nural tube defects and other congenital anomalies. Sogc Clinical Practice Guide Lines, No. 138:1-7.
- 8-Wayne, W. Daniel, "Biostatistics Basic Concepts and Methodology for the Health Sciences", 2010, John Wiley and Sons (Asia) Pte, Ltd.
- 9-Blom H.J.; Shaw G.M.; den Heijer M. and Finnell R.H. (2006). Neural tube defects and folate: case far from closed. Nat. Rev. Neurosci., 7:724–731.
- 10-Katika N. and Vorapong P.(2007). Awareness of the benefits of folic acid and prevalence of the use of folic acid supplements to prevent neural tube defects among Thai women. Arch. Gynecol. Obstet., 276:53–57.
- 11-Andrew E. C.(2004). The primary prevention of birth defects: Multivitamins or folic acid?. International Journal of Medical Sciences, 1(1):50-61.
- 12-Lorenzo D.B.; Alessandra L.; Carol B.; Mark A. C. Nirupa D.; Catherine D. V.; Hermien D. W.; David J. E.; Jane H.; Lorentz M. I.; Brian L.; Robert M.; Julia M. S. P.; Annukka R. Elisabeth R.; Csaba S.; Claude S. and Pierpaolo M.(2006). Trends of Selected Malformations in Relation to Folic Acid Recommendations and Fortification:
- An International Assessment. Birth Defects Research (Part A) 76:693–705.
- 13-Ingrid M. van B.; Livia K.; Marian K. B.; Martin den H.; Henk J. B. and Hermien E.K. de W.(2010). Protective effect of periconceptional folic acid supplements on the risk of congenital heart defects: a registry-based case–control study in the northern Netherlands. Europ. Heart J., 31: 464–471.
- 14-Elahm M.; Abbasali K. and Mohammad J. G.(2010). Maternal Folate and Vitamin B12 Status and Neural Tube Defects in Northern Iran: A Case Control Study. Iran J Pediatr, 20 (2):167-173.
- 15- Wintergerst E.S.; Maggini S. and Hornig D.H. (2007). Contribution of selected vitamins and trace elements to immune function. Ann. Nutr. Metab., 51: 301-23.
- 16-Allen L.H. (2005). Multiple micronutrients in pregnancy and lactation: an overview. Am. J. Clin. Nutr., 81: 1206S-12S.