Knowledge and Awareness Regarding Seasonal Flu among a Sample of Paramedical Staff in Holy Karbala City/ Iraq

Ali Neamah Hassan Al aaragi B.Sc. community health

Assist. Prof. Dr. Atta Abdull Hussein Mousa physician in community medicine

Abstract

A cross sectional study was carried out in Holy Karbala City/Iraq during the period from the 2st of January 2015 to the end of April to assess knowledge and awareness among a sample of paramedical staff regarding seasonal flu. The results of demographic data of the studied sample showed that there was a distinct males preponderance with mean \pm SD of their ages was (35.21 \pm 10.09) years, the age range at the time of study was between (21-62) years , (male : female ratio was 1.3:1) , more than half of the sample selected (57.4%) were males , (42.76%) falls in the age group of (20-29) years, and more than half were (54.51%) had Health Technical institute , (73.76%) from sample were married , and more than three quarter of sample (76.15%) were resident in urban and about half of the sample (51.96%) were worked in hospitals. The study provident There is Significant association has been found between level of education and their knowledge. No significant association has been found between level of and acceptable score . The study recommendation by important dependent general programmes for health education additional training as intensive courses for short periods about the disease , mode of transmission and prevention measures with all available methods for all paramedical staff and other health staff.

Introduction

Influenza is an important public health problem and it causes significant morbidity and mortality, especially in the elderly and greatest risk groups [1]. There are three types of influenza virus: A, B and C. Influenza A and influenza B are responsible for most clinical illness and cause annual epidemics worldwide leading to a substantial morbidity and mortality. [2].Influenza C virus infection causes respiratory illness that is generally milder than that caused by influenza A and B virus infections [3]. Annually, seasonal influenza infections occur in epidemics worldwide and are rated to cause (3 - 5 million) cases of serious illnesses and (250,000 - 500,000) deaths.[2] The last pandemic occurred early in April 2009, after several patients infected with novel H1N1 swine-origin influenza virus A (S-OIV A) were identified in the United States and Mexico. Through rapid and frequent international travel, the virus has disseminated around the world. More than 17,700 deaths have been documented up to April 4, 2010, in the world and the World Health Organization (WHO) Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean (EMRO) has documented over one thousand deaths [4]. Pandemic influenza typically is caused by the emergence of a virulent new strain of type A influenza virus to which most people don't have immunity. This allows it to cause a global outbreak of serious illness[5]. An important starting point in designing proper prevention tools is to know how much educated people aware about H1N1 Infection[6]. Healthcare workers (HCWs) comprise a primary reservoir for transmission of influenza into patients.[3]. Influenza virus Transmission from patients to HCWs, HCWs to patients and among HCWs has been well reported.[7].

The objective of this study to assess the knowledge and awareness of paramedical staff concerning seasonal flu virus infection.

Methods

A cross-sectional study was carried out for a period of four months from the 2st of January 2015 to the end of April 2015. The study was performed to determine Knowledge and awareness regarding Seasonal Flu Among a sample of Paramedical Staff in Holy Karbala City, were chosen by multistage simple random sampling technique. 587 studied sample were obtained by direct interview with the paramedical staff by using detailed self-reporting questionnaire form, The scale of the three levels was rated on the 3 points (likert respondent scale) it was scored as A scoring of agreed about by assigning a score of (3) for the right answer (yes), (2) for the wrong answer (no). and score (1) for the incomplete answer (don't know).

Number of questions: 57 (Minimum=57, Maximum=171, Medium=114)

The medium was calculated for each question and those score below the medium (score <126) consider poor score, while (score \ge 126) good and acceptable score.

The computer facility was used for storage and analysis descriptive statistics including the use of

frequencies, percentages.

The Chi-Square statistical test was used to test for associations between variables with the results being considered as statistically significant when the p value was (≤ 0.05). SPSS statistical package version 20 was used for data description and analysis.

Results

Table (1): shows the distribution of the paramedical staff according to age groups and gender there was a distinct males preponderance with mean \pm SD of their ages was (35.21 \pm 10.09) years, the age range at the time of study was between (21-62) years, (male : female ratio was 1.3:1) and the highest percentage (42.76%) were in the age group (20-29) years and the lowest percentage (7.16) were in the age group (age \geq 50) years and the association was found to be statistically significant (P = 0.001).

	GENDER				Total	
Age groups	males		females		Totai	
	N0	%	N0	%	N0	%
20-29	117	34.72	134	53.60	251	42.76
30-39	101	29.97	78	31.20	179	30.49
40-49	79	23.44	36	14.40	115	19.59
<u>≥</u> 50	40	11.87	2	0.8	42	7.16
Total	337	100	250	100	587	100
	$\chi^2 = 42.607$	df	= 2	p.v= 0.001		

Table (1): Distribution of Studied Sample according to Age groups and Gender.

Table (2): shows the distribution of the paramedical staff regarding educational level high percentage (54.51%) from Medical Institute,(26.41%) from Secondary school , while regarding marital status and residency most cases (73.76%) were married and (76.15%) from Urban area ,while regarding place health institute of the study about half of studied sample (51.96%) were worked in Hospital.

Table (2):Distribution of Studied	Sample	according	to Education	level, Mar	ital status,	Residence	and
Health institute.							

No				Total
190.	VARIADI	2E.5	NO	%
		Secondary school	155	26.41
1	EDUCATION LEVEL	Medical Institute	320	54.51
1		College and above	112	19.08
		Total	587	100
		Single	154	26.24
2	MARITAL STATUS	married	433	73.76
		Total	587	100
	RESIDENCE	Rural	140	23.85
3		Urban	447	76.15
		Total	587	100
		Primary health care	282	48.04
	HEALTH	center	282	40.04
4	INSTITUE	Hospital	305	51.96
		Total	587	100

Table (3): Regarding Seasonal flu is transmission from Human to Human all of studied sample (100%) sample answered correctly, while more than (94%) answered correctly about (the viruses as an etiology of seasonal flu infection, Seasonal flu is Infectious disease and Seasonal flu is transmission easily), while (62.69%) and (56.56%) of studied sample answered correctly about (Seasonal flu as a dangerous disease and Pandemic

Flu (H1N1) is type of Seasonal Flu) respectively, while (38.33%) of studied sample considered Pandemic Flu is occur rarely while Seasonal Flu is occur annually, and (35.60%) of studied sample considered Sore throat is not commonly present with H1N1 while (19.08%) of studied sample considered H1N1 has a rapid onset symptoms within 3-6 hours, while (16.87%) of studied sample considered Sneezing is not common with H1N1.

Table	(3): Distribution	of studied	sample	according	to	their	knowledge	about	epidemiological	factors
associ	ation with seasona	al flu.								

No.	VARIABLES	ANSERS	NO	%
		YES	570	97.11
1	Seasonal flu is caused by virus?	NO	9	1.53
1		DON'T KNOW	8	1.36
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	577	98.30
2	Infectious disease	NO	2	0.34
2		DON'T KNOW	8	1.36
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	368	62.69
2	Dangerous disease ?	NO	28	4.77
3		DON'T KNOW	191	32.54
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	557	94.89
4	Transmission easily ?	NO	10	1.70
4		DON'T KNOW	20	3.41
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	587	100.00
5	person to person Transmission	NO	0	0.00
2		DON'T KNOW	0	0.00
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	388	66.10
(Transmission from animal to Human	NO	101	17.20
6		DON'T KNOW	98	16.70
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	332	56.56
7	Pandemic Flu (H1N1) is type of Seasonal Flu	NO	120	20.44
/		DON'T KNOW	135	23.00
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	225	38.33
0	Pandemic Flu is occur farely while Seasonal Flu is occur annually	NO	180	30.66
0		DON'T KNOW	182	31.01
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	112	19.08
0	HINI has a rapid onset symptoms within 3-6 hours	NO	185	31.52
9		DON'T KNOW	290	49.40
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	99	16.87
10	Sneezing is not common with HINI	NO	203	34.58
10		DON'T KNOW	285	48.55
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	209	35.60
11	Sore throat is not commonly present with HINI	NO	221	37.65
11		DON'T KNOW	157	26.75
		TOTAL	587	100

Table (4): Regarding mode of transmission of seasonal flu, the majority (96.93%) of paramedical staff considered the cough or sneeze as the main Mode of transmission, while more than (70%) for (face-to-face talk and hand shaking) and (62.69%) for indirect hand contact.

Table (4): Distribution of studied sample according to their knowledge about the Mode of transmission of seasonal flu.

Mode of transmission			NO	%
	0 1	YES	569	96.93
1	Cough or sneeze	NO	8	1.36
1		DON'T KNOW	10	1.71
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	469	79.90
2	Face-to-face talk	NO	68	11.58
Z		DON'T KNOW	50	8.52
		TOTAL	587	100
	Hand shaking or	YES	421	71.72
2	embracement	NO	60	10.22
3		DON'T KNOW	106	18.06
		TOTAL	587	100
	T 1' (1 1) (YES	368	62.69
Λ	Indirect hand contact	NO	105	17.89
4		DON'T KNOW	114	19.42
		TOTAL	587	100

Table (5): Regarding the knowledge of paramedical staff about the Signs and Symptoms of seasonal flu, Fever came in the 1st order and answered correctly by the majority (95.57%) of paramedical staff, followed by Headache (90.46%), Rhinorrhea (91.82%), Cough (84.33%) and lower percentage for other symptoms.

Table (5):Distribution of studied sample according to their knowledge about the Mode of transmissio	on of
seasonal flu.	

Signs and Symptoms		ANSERS	NO	%
		YES	561	95.57
1	Fever	NO	12	2.04
		DON'T KNOW	14	2.39
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	531	90.46
		NO	38	6.47
2	Headache	DON'T KNOW	18	3.07
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	495	84.32
		NO	48	8.18
3	Cough	DON'T KNOW	44	7.50
		ΤΟΤΑΙ	587	100
		YES	539	91.82
		NO	34	5 79
4	Rhinorrhea	DON'T KNOW	14	2 39
			587	100
		VES	/03	83.00
		NO	493	8 17
5	Sore throat	DON'T KNOW	46	7.84
			597	100
		IUIAL	387	100
	Mylagia	I ES NO	430	0.54
6		NO DON'T KNOW	30	9.34
			/ J 597	12.78
		IUIAL	387	75.64
	Loss of appetite	I ES	444	/ 3.04
7			72	12.20
		DON I KNOW	/1	12.10
		IUIAL	587	100
		YES	233	39.69
8	Abdominal discomfort		158	26.92
		DON'T KNOW	196	33.39
		IOTAL	587	100
		YES	363	61.84
9	Nausea	NO	/6	12.95
		DON'T KNOW	148	25.21
		IOTAL	587	100
		YES	274	46.68
10	Vomiting	NO	100	17.04
	e	DON'T KNOW	213	36.28
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	148	25.21
11	Diarrhea	NO	156	26.58
		DON'T KNOW	283	48.21
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	327	55.71
12	Prostration	NO	132	22.49
12	riostiution	DON'T KNOW	128	21.80
		TOTAL	587	100

Table (6): Regarding a Complication of seasonal flu the highest percentage more than (70%) of

paramedical staff answered correctly about (sinusitis and Otitis Media) and lower percentage for others Complications.

Complication		ANSERS	NO	%
1		YES	376	64.05
	р	NO	116	19.77
	Pneumonia	DON'T KNOW	95	16.18
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	417	71.04
2	Sinucitia	NO	80	13.63
2	Sillusitis	DON'T KNOW	90	15.33
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	418	71.21
2	Otitis Madia	NO	83	14.14
3	Otitis Media	DON'T KNOW	86	14.65
		TOTAL	587	100
	Myositis	YES	112	19.08
4		NO	185	31.52
4		DON'T KNOW	290	49.40
		TOTAL	587	100
	Encephalitis	YES	99	16.87
5		NO	203	34.58
5		DON'T KNOW	285	48.55
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	209	35.60
6	Complications of pregnancy	NO	221	37.65
0	and birth.	DON'T KNOW	157	26.75
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	140	23.85
7	Low birth weight for	NO	259	44.12
/	infected pregnant	DON'T KNOW	188	32.03
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	214	36.46
8	Death	NO	180	30.66
0	Death	DON'T KNOW	193	32.88
		TOTAL	587	100

*			
Table (6):Distribution	of studied sample according	to their knowledge about	Complication of seasonal flu

Table (7): Regarding the knowledge of paramedical staff about the Risk groups of seasonal flu, more than (80%) of studied sample answered correctly for (People in crowding place, Healthcare workers and Children under 5 years) ,while (67.97%) for Elderly (above 65 years) and less than (60%) studied sample answered correctly for (people with chronic disease and pregnant women).

Risk groups		ANSERS	NO	%
		YES	475	80.92
1	Children (under 5 years	NO	79	13.46
		DON'T KNOW	33	5.62
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	332	56.56
2	Pregnant women	NO	135	23.00
2		DON'T KNOW	120	20.44
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	346	58.94
2	People with chronic disease	NO	129	21.98
3		DON'T KNOW	112	19.08
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	399	67.97
Λ	Elderly (above 65 years)	NO	103	17.55
4		DON'T KNOW	85	14.48
		TOTAL	587	100
	TT 1/1 1	YES	476	81.09
5	Healthcare workers	NO	60	10.22
5		DON'T KNOW	51	8.69
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	510	86.88
6	People in crowding place	NO	30	5.11
0		DON'T KNOW	47	8.01
		TOTAL	587	100

Table (7): Distribution of studied sample according to their knowledge about the Risk groups of seasonal flu.

Table (8): Regarding the knowledge of paramedical staff about Prevention measures regarding Seasonal Flu (vaccine), approximately (90%) of studied sample answered correctly for (Seasonal Flu Vaccine is available in Iraq, Two Types of influenza and the inactivated Seasonal Flu Vaccine given by intramuscular injection), while about (80%) of studied sample answered correctly for (Seasonal Flu Vaccine is efficacious, seasonal flu vaccination reduces the possibility of seasonal flu virus transfer from Healthcare workers to patients, seasonal flu vaccination reduces the risk of seasonal flu virus transfer from Healthcare workers to their family members and contraindicated vaccination is severe allergic reaction to chicken, egg proteins, or other components of the vaccines), Half (50.43%) of studied sample answered correctly about The nasal-spray influenza vaccine is not recommended during pregnancy and for Duration of immunity is one year only (46.68%) of studied sample answered correctly.

Table (8):Distribution of studied sample according to their knowledge about Prevention measures regarding Seasonal Flu (vaccine).

Prevention measures regarding Seasonal Flu (vaccine)		ANSERS	NO	%
		YES	527	89.78
1	Seasonal Flu Vaccine is available in Iraq?	NO	20	3.41
		DON'T KNOW	40	6.81
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	549	93.53
2	for prevention of influenza ?	NO	12	2.04
		DON'T KNOW	26	4.43
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	529	90.12
	intramuscular injection?	NO	36	6.13
3	internacional information i	DON'T KNOW	22	3.75
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	473	80.57
4	Seasonal Flu Vaccine is efficacious	NO	46	7.85
4		DON'T KNOW	68	11.58
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	274	46.68
5	Influenza immunization should be annual	NO	101	17.20
5		DON'T KNOW	212	36.12
		TOTAL	587	100
	Seasonal flu vaccination reduces the possibility of	YES	471	80.24
	seasonal flu virus transfer from Healthcare workers	NO	52	8.86
6	to patients.	DON'T KNOW	64	10.90
		TOTAL	587	100
	Seasonal flu vaccination reduces the risk of seasonal	YES	473	80.58
	flu virus transfer from Healthcare workers to their	NO	48	8.18
7	family members	DON'T KNOW	66	11.24
		TOTAL	587	100
	Contraindicated vaccination is severe allergic	YES	495	84.33
0	reaction to chicken, egg proteins, or other	NO	48	8.17
8	components of the vaccines?	DON'T KNOW	44	7.50
		TOTAL	587	100
	The nasal-spray influenza vaccine is not	YES	296	50.43
9	recommended during pregnancy?	NO DON'T KNOW	8	1.36
			203	40.21
		IUIAL	587	100

Table (9): Regarding the knowledge of paramedical staff about Prevention measures regarding Seasonal Flu (Personal prevention), More than (90%) of studied sample considered (hand washing, wearing mask and using disposable soft tissue) is important as Personal prevention of seasonal flu and the majority (89.44%) answer correctly for avoid crowding places.

Table (9): Distribution	of studied	sample	according	to	their	knowledge	about	Prevention	measures
regarding Seasonal Flu(Personal p	reventior	ı).						

Prevention measures regarding Seasonal Flu (Personal prevention)		ANSERS	N0	%
		YES	555	94.55
1	Hand washing	NO	16	2.72
1		DON'T KNOW	16	2.73
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	541	92.16
2		NO	24	4.09
2	Wearing mask	DON'T KNOW	22	3.75
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	538	91.65
3	Covering the nose and mouth during	NO	24	4.09
	sneezing and coughing	DON'T KNOW	25	4.26
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	525	89.44
4	Avoid crowding places	NO	22	3.75
		DON'T KNOW	40	6.81
		TOTAL	587	100

Table (10): Regarding the knowledge of paramedical staff about the Control measures of Seasonal Flu, the majority (91.82%) of studied sample considered the Using doctors prescribed medication Is important as Control measures of seasonal flu, while approximately (85%) of studied sample considered (the Using over the counter medication and Home based remedies) is important as Control measures of seasonal flu , while (70.70%)of studied sample considered the isolation is important as Control measures of seasonal flu. Table (10): Distribution of studied sample according to their knowledge about Control measures of seasonal flu.

Control measures		ANSERS	NO	%
		YES	499	85.01
1	Using over the counter medication	NO	30	5.11
1		DON'T KNOW	58	9.88
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	539	91.82
2	Using doctors progorihod modication	NO	16	2.73
2	Using doctors prescribed medication	DON'T KNOW	32	5.45
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	496	84.50
2		NO	32	5.45
3	Home based remedies	DON'T KNOW	59	10.05
		TOTAL	587	100
		YES	415	70.70
4		NO	57	9.71
4	Isolation	DON'T KNOW	115	19.59
		TOTAL	587	100

Table (11): Each member of studied sample might have more than one answer, The table shows the main source of information that health worker for (93.85%) of studied sample, while(87.39%), (85.86%) and (79.39%) for (Internet, posters and Satellite channels) respectively, while approximately (73%) for (local TV channel for , booklets and Friends), while approximately (66%) for (newspaper, workshops and Family members) and School curriculum for (59.97%) of studied sample.

Table (11): Distribution of studied sample according to their knowledge about the sources of informa	tion
of seasonal flu .	

No	Sources of information		YES	N0		TOTAL	
INO.	Sources of Information	N0	%	N0	%	N0	%
1	Health workers		93.87	36	6.13	587	100
2	Internet		87.39	74	12.61	587	100
3	Posters	504	85.86	83	14.14	587	100
4	Satellite channels	466	79.39	121	20.61	587	100
5	Local TV channels	435	74.11	152	25.89	587	100
6	Booklets	429	73.08	158	26.92	587	100
7	Friends	426	72.57	161	27.43	587	100
8	Newspapers	394	67.12	193	32.88	587	100
9	Workshops	388	66.10	199	33.90	587	100
10	Family members	382	65.08	205	34.92	587	100
11	School curriculum	352	59.97	235	40.03	587	100

Table (12) :The results of this table showed that the majority (84 %) of studied sample had good and acceptable knowledge score toward seasonal flu, while only (16%) had poor score.

Table (12)	:Distribution	of studied s	ample	according	to knowledg	e score about	seasonal flu.

Knowledge score	NO	%
poor (score < 126)	96	16
good & acceptable (score ≥ 126)	491	84
Total	587	100

Discussion

Epidemiological factors association with seasonal flu.

In the present study it is clear that viruses as an etiology of seasonal flu infection the majority of paramedical staff correct responses regarding the causative virus (97.11%), This is similar to other reported study in India by Puri et.al.,2011 (90%)[8]. the majority (98.30%) of them believed that Seasonal flu is Infectious disease, our opinion about this result may be due to (previous exposure) each member of studied sample infected by contact with diseased either patient or their families or they are communicable the disease to other, (62.69%) of studied sample considered Seasonal flu as a dangerous disease ,This is similar to other reported study in KSA by Rehmani et.al., 2010 (82.3%) [9]. And by Bali et.al.,2012 in India (66.45%) [10]. this result is differ from other reported by Khazaeipour et.al., 2010 in Iran (43.2%) [11], this difference may be due to what is compliance who infected by influenza ,The most common complications are bacterial ,which may happen anywhere along the respiratory tract ,including otitis media, sinusitis, and secondary bacterial pneumonia [3], the majority (94.89%) of studied sample answered correctly about transmission easily of Seasonal flu, all of studied sample considered Seasonal flu is transmission from Human to Human. this result may be due to A person with the flu is contagious for more than a week after first develops singe and symptoms ,influenza is transmitted from person to person via respiratory secretions [1,12].

Similar result had been found in Iraq, neighboring and others countries, by Thabit 2011in Iraq (97.6 %) [13], This was in compare to the findings of Aslan et. al., (2010) in Turkey, which found that (65%) of health care workers knew that the infection was transmitted from human being to an other's [14]. Similarly, Fatiregun et. al., 2011 in Nigeria so as report that (51.6%) of health care providers knew that the infection was transmitted from one person to another [15]. This result could be associated with each man infected with influenza can spread mainly the disease to person through coughing or sneezing [16]. while (56.56%) of studied sample considered Pandemic Flu (H1N1) is type of Seasonal Flu, this result may be due to It was initially called Mexican Flu. Later, the name was tainted to swine influenza (swine flu) when studies showed that the virus contains genes that were parallel to those of the viruses in North America that cause influenza among pigs [17]. while (38.33%) of studied sample considered Pandemic Flu is occur rarely while Seasonal Flu is occur annually this result may be due to believed of studied sample all types of influenza occur similarly, while (19.08%) of studied sample considered H1N1 has a rapid onset symptoms within (3 - 6) hours, this result may be due to Pandemic influenza A (H1N1) showed more critical illness among young people [18]. while (16.87%) of studied sample considered Sneezing is not common with H1N1, this result may be due to The common clinical features with H1N1are (fever, common cold and cough with muscle ache). These symptoms are similar to seasonal flu but due to havoc created by (H1N1) flu every case of fever with cough and cold is being considered as (H1N1) flu by general public [19]. and (35.60%) of studied sample considered Sore throat is not commonly

present with H1N1, this result may be due to expected that the (H1N1) will to continue to circulate as a seasonal virus, and sporadic cases and local outbreaks will continue to occur, depending upon the immunity level of the community [20].

Mode of transmission of seasonal flu

If not people have essential knowledge about the modes of transmission, they respond properly during an outbreak [21]. the risk of receiving infected would increase when an infected individual coughed or sneezed in close distance, This may be due to the influenza as respiratory infectious disease. In the present study the majority (96.93%), (79.90%), (71.72%) and (62.69%) of paramedical staff answers correctly as mode of transmission of seasonal flu about (cough or sneeze , face-to-face talk , handing shaking or embracement and indirect hand contact) respectively.

This result is similar to other reported studies in Iraq and other country by Thabit 2011 in Iraq(97.6%) regarding cough or sneeze as mode of transmission[13], by Lin et.al., 2011 in Chine(61.9%) for face-to-face talk [22]. While this result is differ from other reported study in Chine by Lin et.al., 2011 for (26.8%) and(22.3%) about (handing shaking or embracement and indirect hand contact) [22]. This result may be due to expected that the combined efforts of national and international health authorities in training health care workers and informing and educating the general population would have led to a better understanding of seasonal flu.

Signs and Symptoms of seasonal flu

In the present study, regarding the knowledge of paramedical staff about the Signs and Symptoms of seasonal flu, Fever came in the 1st order and answered correctly by the majority (95.57%) of paramedical staff, This is similar to other reported study in Turkey by Aslan et.al., 2010 (83.9%) [14] .this result is logically because the fever is combination with each infection and its consider indicator for body health, while the majority (90.46%) considered Headache as a Symptom of seasonal flu This is different to other reported study in Turkey by Aslan et.al., 2010 (46.2%) [14]. while the majority (84.33%) of studied sample considered the Cough is a Signe of seasonal flu, similar results were found by Thabit 2011in Iraq (97.6%) [13] and other reported study in neighboring country in Turkey by Aslan et.al., 2010(57.2%) [14]. this result because cough is specific Signe to influenza while the majority (91.82%) considered Rhinorrhea is a Signe of seasonal flu This is different to other reported study in Turkey by Aslan et.al., 2010 (34.4%) [14]. this result may be due to The disease is characterized by the sudden onset of fever, chills, headache, myalgia and extreme fatigue, Other common symptoms involve a dry cough, sore throat and stuffy nose [6]. while the majority (83.99%) of paramedical staff considered the Sore throat is Signe of seasonal flu This is different to other reported study in Turkey by Aslan et.al., 2010 (48.9%) [14].this result is acceptable, while the highest (77.68%) of paramedical staff considered the Mylagi is a symptom of seasonal flu, This is similar to other reported study in Turkey by Aslan et.al., 2010 (61.6%) [14]. while the highest (75.64%) of paramedical staff considered the Loss of appetite is a symptom of seasonal flu, while the highest (39.69%) considered the Abdominal discomfort is a symptom of seasonal flu this result may be due to Abdominal discomfort is rare a symptom of seasonal flu and it is a specific symptom for Gastrointestinal tract and influenza Sometimes cause gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms [16], while the highest (61.84%) of studied sample considered the Nausea is a symptom of seasonal flu This is different to other reported study in Turkey by Aslan et.al., 2010 (31.9%) [14], this result may be due to Nausea is rare a symptom of seasonal flu because it is a specific symptom for Gastrointestinal tract, while the highest (46.68%) of studied sample considered the Vomiting is a singe of seasonal flu, This result is similar to other reported study in Turkey by Aslan et.al., 2010 (52.0%) [14].this result may be due to Conjunctival injection, vomiting ,abdominal pain and diarrhea is less commonly observed rare a symptom of seasonal flu because it is a specific symptom for Gastrointestinal tract [3], while the highest (25.21%) of studied sample considered the Diarrhea is a singe of seasonal flu, This is similar to other reported study in Turkey by Aslan et.al., 2010 (27.8%) [14].this result is different from were found in Iraq by Thabit 2011(91.2%) believed in that [13]. this difference may be due to Diarrhea is rare symptom of seasonal flu and it is a specific singe for Gastrointestinal tract and there are many causes for diarrhea, and more than half (55.71%) of studied sample considered the Prostration is a symptom of seasonal flu. This indicates that the knowledge of the paramedical staff toward singes and symptoms of seasonal flu is good and acceptable in the present than other studies [13]. this result may be due to influenza sometimes causes vomiting and diarrhea, approximately (50%) will not develop the typical symptoms described above [23]. For else healthy individuals, influenza is an unpleasant, however commonly self-limiting disease with recovery usually by (2-7) days [6].

Complication of seasonal flu

Regarding a Complication of seasonal flu the highest (71.21%),(71.04%) and (64.05%) of paramedical staff answered correctly about (Otitis Media , Sinusitis and Pneumonia) respectively, and lower percentage (19.08%) , (16.87%) , (35.60%), (23.85%) and (36.46%) about (Myositis , Encephalitis, Complications of pregnancy and

birth, Low birth weight and Death) respectively, These results were lower than what is found believed in death by Thabit 2011 in Iraq (91.2%) [13], Wong et.al., in Malaysia in 2010 (73.8%) [24] and Johanna et.al., in South Africa 2015(97.9%) [25].

This result may be due to The illness perhaps complicated within bronchitis, secondary bacterial pneumonia, or in children, otitis media, Influenza can be complicated more unusually within meningitis, encephalitis or meningoencephalitis and death. The risk of serious illness from influenza is higher amongst children less than (6 months) of age [6]. and this difference may be due to lack awareness of studied sample about the number of deaths from the disease globally during 2009 was 238 and cases had been reported throughout Iraq was 475[13].

Risk group for seasonal flu

Knowledge of paramedical staffs Regarding Risk groups which include (Children, pregnant women, people with chronic disease, Elderly, Healthcare workers, People in crowding place) higher percentages of studied answered correctly.

This result is similar to other reported study by Askarian et.al., in Iran 2013 [26]. this results is differ from other reported studies in other parts of world by Bali, 2012 in India [10], Johanna et. al., in South Africa 2015 [25] and Khazaeipour et.al., 2010 in Iran [11], this difference may be due to current study conducted in the season of influenza and after awareness and vaccination campaigns for health care worker and The risk of serious illness from influenza is higher amongst children less than six months of age, underlying health conditions such as immunosuppression and pregnant women [6], [27]. severe disease being most likely to occur among persons with underlying chronic illnesses, making influenza prevention an important public health goal [28]. mainly severe illness and deaths occur in the high-risk populations of infants, the elderly and chronically ill patients [11] this is categories had immunocompromised individuals that made up in the risk groups for seasonal flu, Persons working in the medical providing (health care workers) [29] because this category direct contact with patient and may be due to the seasonal flu is respiratory tract infection and spread by close contact (such as an institution) [30].

Prevention measures regarding vaccine of Seasonal Flu

Annually, the encumbrance of seasonal flu is considerable. Vaccination coverage for non and high risk target groups is however not sufficient although efficiency of flu vaccination is evidently demonstrated. To increase vaccination uptake, it is indispensable to increase insight to the vaccination uptake among different risk groups and to deal with the drivers and barriers to vaccination, in the population[2]. vaccination is an efficient measure to prevent infectious disease [31], enchantingly, healthcare workers do not only interest the vaccination as a protection for their patients, but in the first reason as a protection for themselves [32]. Regarding the knowledge of paramedical staff about Prevention measures regarding Seasonal Flu (vaccine), the majority (89.78 %) of studied sample answered correctly for Seasonal Flu Vaccine is available this result is similar to what had been reported by Cadeddu et.al., 2011 in Italia (94.8%) [36], and Bali, 2012 in India (80.5%) [10] and by Khazaeipour et.al., 2010 in Iran (66.9%) [11] and this results is differ from Thabit 2011 in Iraq (19%) [13], this difference may be due to training about novel influenza and implementation of infection control measures for all paramedical staff, while the majority (93.53%) of studied sample answered correctlyabout Two types of influenza, this result may be due to seasonal influenza vaccination was initiated at the early phase of the H1N1 epidemic expansion in the world, for the inactivated Seasonal Flu Vaccine given by intramuscular injection by (90.12%) of studied sample believed that this result may be due to all paramedical staff participants shared the campaign of seasonal flu awareness and seasonal flu vaccination was directed at others and the vaccine available in Iraq is the inactivated Seasonal Flu Vaccine and given to people by intramuscular injection, for Seasonal Flu Vaccine is efficacious by (80.58%) of studied sample answered correctly this result is similar than what is found by Alshammaria et. al., in Saudi Arabia 2014 (71.43%) [33], Johanna et. al., in South Africa2015(68.1%) [25] and Khazaeipour et.al., 2010 in Iran (51.4%) [11], this result may be due to Vaccine recipients are generally informed that vaccination is (70–90%) effective against influenza [34], Individuals who have vaccination or preexisting immunity from previous natural infections may have milder symptoms[3] and the reduce of seasonal flu infection in the population and particularly (health care worker) and different immunity level of the community [35], Should receive vaccine annually (Duration of immunity is one year only) (46.68%) of studied sample answered correctly this results is different from were found by Rehmani et.al., in KSA 2010 (97.4%) [9], Alshammaria et. al., in Saudi Arabia 2014 (80%) [33], and Johanna et. al., in South Africa 2015(93.5%) [25], this difference according to expected that the influenza will to continue to circulate as a seasonal virus, and sporadic cases and local outbreaks will continue to occur, depending upon the immunity level of the community [35], while the majority (80.24%) of studied sample answered correctly about seasonal flu vaccination reduces the possibility of seasonal flu virus transfer from Healthcare workers to patients this result according to Health care personnel should be immunized annually to minimize absenteeism and transmission of seasonal influenza

from Health care personnel to patients [30]. while the majority (80.58%) of studied sample answered correctly about seasonal flu vaccination reduces the risk of seasonal flu virus transfer from Healthcare workers to their family members, this result according Annual a key measure in the prevention of nosocomial transmission it is vaccination of HCWs, Although vaccination of HCWs is effective in reducing influenza infection rates, but febrile respiratory illness work as absenteeism and patient mortality [4]. while the majority (84.33%) of studied sample answered correctly about contraindicated vaccination is severe allergic reaction to chicken, egg proteins, or other components of the vaccines this result as awareness focused as precaution when the medical and health staff given the vaccine to recipient , and half (50.43%) of studied sample answered correctly about The nasal-spray influenza vaccine is not recommended during pregnancy. this result may be due to this type of vaccine don't available in our country .

Prevention measures regarding Seasonal Flu (Personal prevention)

Regarding the knowledge of paramedical staff about Prevention measures regarding Seasonal Flu(Personal prevention) the main score of Prevention measures was excellent more than (90%) for about (washing hands, wearing mask, using disposable soft tissue and avoid crowding places), Similarly (97.6%) of the nurses indicated they washed hands frequently and used personal protective equipments like masks or apron. This result agreement with Subitha et.al., 2012 in India[20], by Cadeddu et.al., 2011 in Italia [36], by Aslan et.al., in Turkey 2010 (65.9%),(56.9%) for washing hands, wearing mask respectively [14] and Lin et.al., 2011 in Chine (56.9%) for washing hands [22].this result may be due to perceived susceptibility is expected to begin the process of adoption of preventive behaviors, health authorities and media stress to the public particular health employment to continuously adopt precautionary measures to prevent infection even if the number of reported deaths decreases [24].

Control measures of Seasonal Flu

Regarding the knowledge of paramedical staff about the Control measures of Seasonal Flu had good and acceptable level, This result is similar to other reported study in Turkey by Aslan et.al., 2010 found (64.9%) about (Using over the counter medication) and (70.6%) about isolation are important as Control measures of seasonal flu [14], this is result may be due to Approximately (10- 50) % of children younger than 5 years of age develop viral bronchopneumonia within symptoms that are typically mild and resolve without treatment [3]. the thinking of studied sample about that may be due to the seasonal flu is respiratory disease and transmission via unprotected coughs and sneezes, and from hand to mucous membranes.[30].

Source of information of seasonal flu infection

In current study, each member of studied sample might have more than one answer ,different sources of information about influenza may be due to availability of sources and personality liking it .

Knowledge score about all types of Source of information about seasonal flu infection were good and acceptable, Internet were the main source of information of studied sample from other sources, similar results found by Kamate et.al., 2009 in India (81.3) [37] and by Coulibaly et.al., 2009 in Cote d ' Ivoire (53.1%) [38], One of the reasons for the relative access of knowledge could be the rather high rate of internet access which to the participants knowledge is one of the best channels to get timely information, , for (67.12%) of studied sample for newspaper this result is disagree with result by Coulibaly et.al., 2009 in Cote d ' Ivoire (49.5%) [38], this difference may be due to other countries dependent on other sources of information.

knowledge score about seasonal flu

The majority (84 %) of studied sample had good and acceptable knowledge score toward seasonal flu . this result is similar to other reported studies in Iraq and others neighboring and world countries, by Ameer 2012 in Iraq (70%) [39], and by Al-Sayyad et.al., in Saudi Arabia, 2014, was found The overall participant's knowledge about Influenza A (H1N1) virus, mode of transmission and preventive measures to be excellent [40]. And by Zairina et. al., in Tampin Negeri Sembilan ,2011 (60.2%) respondents had "adequate knowledge" [41] , by Province et. al., in Thailand, 2014 (60%) [42] ,and by Yap et. al., in Singapore 2010 (69.6%) Health care workers had "good knowledge" [43] .and differ from others reported study in the world, by Aslan et.al., in Turkey,2010 (22.98%) [14] and Fatiregun et. al., 2011in Nigeria, who found that poor knowledge of studied sample regarding seasonal flu [15]. this difference may be due to Iraqi Ministry of Health since the beginning of 2009 updated the pandemic Influenza preparedness strategies and the operational plans of action were developed and this can be attributed to the immediate training program given to the medical and paramedical staff as well as the mass media campaign which is important in pandemic situations to avoid its spread and complications [21].

Reference

- 1. World Health Organization. Influenza vaccines. WHO position paper. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2002; 28:229–240.
- 2. Blank PR, Schwenkglenks M, Szucs TD. Influenza vaccination coverage rates in five European countries during season 2006 / 07 and trends over six consecutive seasons.*BMC Public Health* 2008;11:1–11.
- 3. Salisbury D. Immunisation against infectious disease (The Green Book) [Internet]. The Veterinary record. 2013. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8897732.
- 4. Matsuzaki Y, Katsushima N, Nagai Y et al. Clinical features of influenza C virus infection in children. *J Infect Dis.* 2006;193(1229):35.
- 5. Donaldson LJ et al. English mortality from A/H1N1 comparisons with recent flu mortality. *BMJ* 2010; 340: 62.
- 6. Zhou H, Thompson WW, Viboud CG et al. Hospitalizations associated with influenza and respiratory syncytial virus in the United States, 1993-2008. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012; 54: 1427 -36.
- 7. World Health Organization (2010) Influenza A (H1N1)- Update 95. Available at http:// w.who.int/csr/don/ 2010 04 09 / en/ index .html Accessed 13 April 2009.
- 8. Puri S, Singh A, Koushal V, Thakare M, Singhal A: Knowledge, attitude and practice regarding the H1N1 pandemic amongst healthcare providers, and preparedness in a multispeciality teaching hospital in North India. *Public Health* 2011; 125:795–798.
- 9. Rehmani R, Memon JI. Knowledge, attitudes and beliefs regarding influenza vaccination among healthcare workers in a Saudi hospital . *Vaccine*.2010;28:26:4283–7. Available from:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.04.031
- 10. Bali NK, M. Ashraf, Feroze Ahmad, Umar H. Khan,a Marc-Alain Widdowson et. al., Knowledge, attitude, and practices about the seasonal influenza vaccination among healthcare workers in Srinagar, India. *Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses* 2013; 7(4), 540–545.
- 11. Khazaeipour Z, Ranjbarnovin N, Hoseini N. Original Article Influenza immunization rates , knowledge , attitudes and practices of health care workers in Iran. *J Infect Dev Ctries* 2010; 4(10):636-644.
- 12. Fitzgerald DA: Human swine influenza A [H1N1]: practical advice for clinicians early in the pandemic. *Paediatr Respir Rev.* 2009; 10:154-158.
- 13. Thabit MF. Knowledge and awareness towards Swine Flu pandemic among a sample of paramedicals serving in primary health care centers in Baghdad. *QMJ VOL* 2011;7(11):85–94.
- 14. Aslan S, Gulsun S, Citak EC, Oncul A, Pirinccioglu H. An inquiry of knowledge, attitudes and practices against pandemic H1N1influenza among Turkish health care workers: Experience of a single center in Southeast of Turkey. *Journal of infection in developing countries* 2010; 4(10):636-644.
- 15. Fatiregun A A, Olowookere S A, Oyebade A O. Pandemic Influenza A (H1N1): knowledge among senior health workers at a secondary health care institution in Southwest, Nigeria. *African Health Sciences* 2011;11:171-75.
- 16. Health LACD of P, Acute Communicable Disease Control B-73. Acute Communicable Disease Control(influenza). *Heal Los Angeles Cty Dep Public*. 2011; 213 (240):1–6.
- 17. Etokidem AJ, Ogaji DST, Nsan E, Ikpeme BM, Oyo-Ita AE, et al. Influenza A H1NI (Pandemic 2009): How prepared are healthcare providers in Calabar, Nigeria?. *Journal of Infectious Diseases and Immunity* 2012;4(3):23-28.
- 18. Bertozzi S, et al: Reported severe respiratory disease and deaths concurrent with atypical A(H1N1) influenza circulation of swine origin in Mexico, *New England Journal of Medicine*; 2009;55:87-94.
- 19. Singh B. Combating the H1N1 influenza (swine flu) Epidemic: What should India do?. *Indian Journal of Public Health* 2009;53(3):190-1.
- 20. Subitha L, Gautam R, Medicine S. awareness of influenza A (H1N1) among nurses in a tertary care hospital in south India. National Journal of Community Medicine 2012;3(3):568–70.
- 21. Rubin GJ, Amlot R, Page L, Wessely S. Public perceptions, anxiety, and behavior change in relation to the swine flu outbreak: cross-sectional telephone survey. *BMJ* 2009;339:2651.
- 22. Lin Y, Huang L, Nie S, Liu Z, Yu H, Yan W, et al. Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices (KAP) related to the Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 among Chinese General Population: a Telephone Survey. BMC Infect Dis [Internet]. *BioMed Central Ltd*; 2011;11(1):128.
- 23. Atkinson W, Wolfe S, Hamborsky J, McIntyre L, eds: Epidemiology and prevention of vaccine-preventable diseases, ed 11, Washington DC, *Public Health Foundation* 2009. Available at:www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pubs/pinkbook/default.htm.
- 24. Wong LP, Sam C. Knowledge and Attitudes in Regard to Pandemic Influenza A (H1N1) in a Multiethnic Community of Malaysia. *Int. J. Behav. Med.* 2010; 10:1007-9.
- 25. Johanna M, Mcanerney, Sibongile Walaza, Adam L Cohen, Stefano Tempia, et al. Effectiveness and knowledge, attitudes and practices of seasonal influenza vaccine in primary healthcare settings in South Africa, 2010–2013. *Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses* 2015; 9(3), 143–150.

- 26. Askarian M, Danaei M, Vakili V. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding pandemic H1N1 influenza among medical and dental residents and fellowships in shiraz, Iran. *Int J Prev Med*2013;4:396-403.
- 27. Pebody R. Pandemic influenza A (H1N1) 2009 and mortality in the United Kingdom: risk factors for death, April 2009 to March 2010. *Eurosurveillance*. 2010;15(20):19571.
- 28. Baumgartner EA, Dao CN, Nasreen S, Bhuiyan MU, Mah-e-muneer S, Mamun A Al, et al. Seasonality, Timing, and Climate Drivers of In fl uenza Activity Worldwide.*the Journal of infectious diseases*. 2012;206:838-46.
- 29. Rathi S, Gandhi H, Francis M. Knowledge and awareness about H1N1 Flu in Urban Adult Population of Vadodara ,India. *Electron Physician* 2011;3:392–5.
- 30. David L.Heymann M. Control of Communicable Diseases Manual'. 19th ed. Washington, *DC* 20001-3710; 2008:19 : 315-322.
- 31. Hsieh YH: Age groups and spread of influenza: implications for vaccination strategy. *BMC Infect Dis* 2010, 10:106.
- 32. Hofmann F, Ferracin C, Marsh G, Dumas R: Influenza vaccination
- of healthcare workers: a literature review of attitudes and beliefs. Infection 2006, 34(3):142-147.
- 33. Alshammaria Thamir M., Lama S. AlFehaidc, Joud K. AlFraihd, Hisham S. Aljadhey, Health care professionals' awareness of, knowledge about and attitude to influenza vaccination in Saudi Arabia, Vaccine, 2014; 32: 5957–5961.
- 34. National Advisory Committee on Immunization: Statement on influenza vaccination for the 2006–2007 season. *Can. Commun. Dis Rep* 2006. 32, 1–28.
- 35. World Health Organization; Pandemic (H1N1) 2009-update105. Geneva: [http://www.who.int/csr/don/2010 06 18/en/index.html], Accessed Jun 29,2010.
- 36. Cadeddu C, Thiene D Di, Ricciardi W, Boccia A, Torre G La. Knowledge about pandemic flu among Italian Health Care Workers (HCWs): an Italian survey. *J prev med hyg* 2011; 52: 127-130.
- 37. Kamate SK, Agarwal A, Agrawal A, Chaudhary H, Singh K, Mishra P, Asawa K. Public knowledge, attitude and behavioural changes in an Indian population during the Influenza A (H1N1) outbreak. *J Infect Dev Ctries* 2009; 4:7–14.
- 38. Coulibaly D, Nzussouo NT, Kadjo HA, Traoré Y, Ekra DK, Chérif D, et al. Emerging Problems In Infectious Diseases Pandemic Influenza A (H1N1) in Cote d'Ivoire : health- care providers 'knowledge of influenza and attitudes towards vaccination. *J Infect Dev Ctries* 2013; 7(7):499-506.
- 39. Ameer AJA. Influenza-A (H1N1) knowledge and Perceptions Among Al-Nahrain Medical Students. *the Iraqi postgraduate medical journal* 2012;11(4):453–7.
- 40. Al-Sayyad AS, Al-Haddad SA, MD, Erzaiqat FA, AlReqraq FJ, Al-Dar MM, et al. Knowledge and Practice among the Population of Saudi Arabia Eastern Province during the H1N1 Pandemic 2009. *Bahrain Medical Bulletin, 2014*; *36(1)*:43 48.
- 41. Zairina AR, Nooriah MS, Yunus AM. Knowledge And Practices Towards Influenza A (H1N1) Among Adults in Three Residential Areas in Tampin Negeri Sembilan: A Cross Sectional Survey.*Med. J. Malaysia* 2011;66(3):207–13.
- 42. Province R, Rukmanee N, Yimsamran S, Rukmanee P, Thanyavanich N. knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) regarding influenza A (H1N1) among a population living along thai myanmar. Thailand. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 2014; 45 (4) pp(825-833).
- 43. Yap J, Lee VJ, Yau TY, Ng TP, Tor P; Knowledge, attitudes and practices towards pandemic influenza among cases, close contacts, and healthcare workers in tropical Singapore: a cross-sectional survey. *BMC Public Health* 2010;10:442.