

**Modern Engineering Design:
Analytical and Numerical Modelling
of Semi-rigid Connections**

A thesis submitted to The University of Manchester for the degree
of Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty of Engineering and
Physical Sciences

2013

HAMEED SHAKIR TRAD AL-AASAM

**SCHOOL OF MECHANICAL, AEROSPACE AND CIVIL
ENGINEERING**

ProQuest Number:10028312

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



ProQuest 10028312

Published by ProQuest LLC (2018). Copyright of the Dissertation is held by the Author.

All rights reserved.

This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code
Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC.

ProQuest LLC.
789 East Eisenhower Parkway
P.O. Box 1346
Ann Arbor, MI 48106 – 1346

Table of content

Abstract	16
Declaration	17
Copyright Statement.....	18
Acknowledgements	19
Notations	20
Publications	24
Chapter One Introduction.....	25
1.1. Introduction	25
1.2. Research objectives	29
1.3. Outline of the thesis	31
Chapter Two Literature review	35
2.1. Introduction	35
2.2. Semi-rigid concept	35
2.3. Main approaches to investigate the behaviour of isolated connection.....	36
2.3.1. Mathematical models	37
2.3.2. 2D and 3D finite element models.....	37
2.3.3. Component-based mechanical models.....	41
2.4. Main approaches to investigate the effect of a semi-rigid connection on the natural frequency of structure	43
2.4.1. Background	43
2.4.2. Beam with semi-rigid connections.....	44
2.4.3. Frame with semi-rigid connections.....	44
Chapter Three Mechanical model for predicting the rotational stiffness of a flush end-plate composite connection incorporating the effect of partial interaction.....	50

3.1. Introduction	50
3.2. Flush end-plate composite connection	51
3.2.1. Why flush end-plate composite connection?	51
3.2.2. Key parameters of a flush end-plate composite connection	52
3.3. Background	54
3.3.1. Requirements of an “ideal model”	54
3.3.2. Significant models from the literature	55
3.4. Proposed mechanical model.....	62
3.4.1. Basic parameters and springs in the proposed mechanical model	62
3.4.2. Derivation of the expressions for the mechanical model	64
3.5. The applicability of the proposed equation for general cases	68
3.6. Why a rotational spring?	69
3.6.1. Modelling	70
3.6.2. Results and discussion	72
3.6.3. Suggestion for modification of the conventional models	75
3.7. Main merits of the proposed model	76
3.8. Determination of the effective terms in the mathematical expression.....	76
3.8.1. Effect of k_c	77
3.8.2. Effect of k_b	78
3.8.3. Effect of k_r	78
3.8.4. Effect of k_s	79
3.9. Adopted procedure to calculate the key parameters	80
3.9.1. Stiffness of the top row of bolts, k_b	80
3.9.2. Stiffness of the column web in shear, k_{cws} and compression, k_{cwc}	80
3.9.3. Stiffness coefficient of the steel reinforcement, k_r	81
3.9.4. Stiffness of the shear connection, k_s	83
3.10. Validation of the proposed expression for the rotational stiffness of a composite connection	90

3.10.1. Results and conclusions	92
Chapter Four Prediction of the load-slip behaviour of a stud shear-	
connector	98
4.1. Background	98
4.2. Current procedures to estimate the essential properties of a stud shear-connector	98
4.2.1. Strength of the shear connector, $F_{sc,max}$	99
4.2.2. Stiffness of a shear stud connector, k_{sc}	101
4.2.3. Empirical equations to estimate slip capacity, $S_{sc,c}$	104
4.3. Proposed approach	104
4.3.1. Basic relations	104
4.3.2. Methodology	105
4.3.3. Strength of a stud shear-connector	110
4.3.4. Secant stiffness of a stud shear-connector	112
4.4. Validity of the proposed chart for larger diameters of shear connector	113
4.5. Multi-linear model of the load-slip curve for the shear connector	114
4.5.1. Slip at maximum strength and slip capacity of the shear connector	116
4.6. Summary and conclusion	118
Chapter Five Stiffness of a reinforced concrete slab in a composite	
connection	119
5.1. Introduction	119
5.2. Background	119
5.3. Brief literature review	120
5.4. Objectives	122
5.5. Proposed model for reinforced concrete composite slab, (RCCS)	123
5.5.1. Defining the behaviour of the major components	123

5.5.2. Construction of the proposed model	134
5.6. Derivation of analytical expression for the initial stiffness of composite slab with shear studs (“lump” component)	136
5.7. Distribution of forces in (RCC) and shear stud springs	138
5.8. Validation	139
5.8.1. Verification of the analytical expression against finite element results using ABAQUS software	139
5.8.2. Verification of the proposed model for (RCC) and shear studs against the experimental test for a composite slab	145
5.8.3. Verification of the proposed model against the experimental test for a composite connection	147
5.9. Parametric study to investigate the effect of k_{RCC}/k_{sc} on K_{lump}	154
5.9.1. Maximum and minimum ratios of k_{RCC}/k_{sc}	154
5.9.2. ABAQUS model	155
5.9.3. Results and discussion	155
5.11. Modified mechanical model of initial stiffness for a composite connection ...	162
5.12. Summary and conclusions	164
Chapter Six Moment resistance and Ductility of a flush end-plate composite connection	166
6.1. Introduction	166
6.2 Prediction of failure mode of a flush end-plate composite connection	167
6.3. Moment resistance of a flush end-plate composite connection	170
6.3.1. Brief literature review	170
6.3.2. Proposed procedure to calculate moment resistance of a flush end-plate composite connection	172
6.3.3. Validity of the proposed procedure to calculate the moment resistance of a flush end-plate composite connection	177
6.4. Ductility of a flush end-plate composite connection	180

6.5. Brief literature review	180
6.5.1. Rotation at yield	180
6.5.2. Rotation capacity.....	182
6.6. Proposed procedure to predict the rotation at yield and rotation capacity of a flush end-plate composite connection	186
6.6.1. Rotation at yield	186
6.6.2. Rotation capacity.....	188
6.7. Validity of the proposed procedures	191
6.7.1. Rotation at yield	191
6.7.2. Rotation capacity.....	192
6.8 Relation between the ductility of connection and ductility of frame	194
6.8.1. Finite element modelling	196
6.9. Summary and conclusions.....	203
Chapter Seven Analysis of a uniform beam with semi-rigid connections under gravity loading	204
7.1. Introduction	204
7.2. Proposed procedure	205
7.3. Eurocode 3 (2005) classification for joints	207
7.4. Comparison with (Ahmed et al., 2008)'s equations	207
7.5. Deflection of a semi-rigid beam.....	209
7.6. Steps for analysis of a beam with a semi-rigid connection under gravity loading	210
7.6.1 End moment and reaction V_A and V_B	210
7.6.2. Deflection at any point	210
7.7. Natural frequency of a uniform beam with semi-rigid connections using the effective length concept	213
7.8. Results and conclusion.....	215

Chapter Eight A simplified procedure to calculate by hand the natural periods of semi-rigid steel frames	216
8.1. Introduction	216
8.2. Brief literature review	216
8.3. Derivation of an approximate formula to calculate the natural periods of a cantilever beam	217
8.3.1. Purely flexural motion (i.e. $\lambda=\lambda_f$)	219
8.3.2. Coupled shear-flexural motion (i.e. $\lambda=\lambda_{sf}$).....	220
8.3.3. Combined effect of pure flexural and shear-flexural free vibration	220
8.4. Approximate formulae for natural periods.....	221
8.5. Evaluation of characteristic parameters	221
8.6. Simplified procedure to calculate natural periods of a steel frame	222
8.7. Effect of connection flexibility on natural frequency of steel frames.....	222
8.8. Modification of the approximate formulae for semi-rigid frames	223
8.9. Parametric study on the effect of different $k\alpha H$ values	232
8.10. Summary and conclusions.....	234
Chapter Nine An approximate analytical method for calculating the natural periods of unbraced steel frames with semi-rigid connections.....	235
9.1. Introduction	235
9.2. Brief literature review	235
9.3. Muto's original method (D value method).....	237
9.3.1. Equations of Muto's original method	239
9.4. Improvements of Muto's method.....	240
9.4.1. The position of inflection point of beams	240
9.4.2. Effect of boundary conditions of columns at the first storey on the deflection of upper storey.....	242

9.4.3. Storey deflection due to load applied at immediately beneath the storey in question	242
9.5. Improved equations and corresponding sub-frames	242
9.6. Proposed procedure to compute flexibility matrix for plane frame structures...	246
9.7. Lumped-mass matrix for a multi-storey plane frame.....	247
9.8. Fundamental period of a multi-storey plane frame	248
9.9. Modified Muto's method for a semi- rigid framed structure	249
9.10. Sensitivity of the proposed procedure	252
9.11. Summary and conclusions.....	255
Chapter Ten Summary and Conclusions.....	256
10.1. Introduction	256
10.2. Mechanical model for predicting the rotational stiffness of a flush end-plate composite connection incorporating the effect of partial interaction.....	256
10.3. Prediction of the load-slip behaviour of a stud shear-connector.....	257
10.4. Stiffness of a reinforced concrete slab in a composite connection	257
10.5. Moment resistance and ductility of flush end-plate composite connections....	258
10.6. Analysis of a beam with semi-rigid connections under gravity loading	259
10.7. A simplified procedure to calculate by hand the natural periods of semi-rigid steel frames.....	259
10.8. An approximate analytical method for calculating the natural periods of unbraced steel frames with semi-rigid connections	260
10.9. Recommendation for future work	260
References	262
Appendix-A1	269
Appendix-B	276

Word count: 60,049

Table of Figures

Figure 1.1: Types of connection and its moment- rotation curves (Chan and Chui,2000)	25
Figure 1.2: Examples of connections connected to beam UB 610x305x176 and Eurocode 3 boundaries.....	26
Figure 1.3: Examples of connections connected to beam UB 127x76x13 and Eurocode 3 boundaries.....	27
Figure 1.4: Typical moment–rotation curve of a connection.....	
Figure 1.5: Logical relationship among the main chapters.....	
Figure 2.1: Example of a semi-rigid composite connection.....	36
Figure 2.2: 2D finite element model for composite connection using DIANA software (Kattner and Crisinel, 2000).....	38
Figure 2.3: General arrangement of test set-up (Fu and Lam, 2006).....	39
Figure 2.4: 3D finite element model of a composite connection using ABAQUS software and only part of slab was taken and ignored the tension-stiffening effect (Fu et al., 2007).....	40
Figure 2.5: The composite connection model with material model of concrete (Fu et al, 2007).....	40
Figure 2.6: Example of experimental specimens (Gil and Bayo, 2008).....	40
Figure 2.7: 3D Finite element model using ABAQUS software for internal and external connection incorporating the effect of tension-stiffening in concrete modelling (Gil and Bayo, 2008).....	41
Figure 2.8: Example of mechanical model (Ahmed and Nethercot, 1997).....	42
Figure 2.9: Test structure arrangement (Nader and Astaneh, 1991).....	46
Figure 3.1: Typical flush end-plate composite connection.....	51
Figure 3.2: Typical model of a flush end-plate composite connection with its deformation.....	53
Figure 3.3: Internal forces and deformation in bare steel and composite connections...	56
Figure 3.4: (a) Benussi and Noe’s model (b) Anderson and Najafi’s extended model	57
Figure 3.5: The spring model of (Ren and Crisinel 1995).....	58
Figure 3.6: Ahmed and Nethercot spring's model.....	59
Figure 3.7: Proposed mechanical model.....	64
Figure 3.8: a) Proposed model (b) (Ahmed and Nethercot 1997)'s model.....	70

Figure 3.9: Modelling of conventional mechanical model in ABAQUS.....	71
Figure 3.10: Modelling of the proposed mechanical model in ABAQUS.....	71
Figure 3.11: ABAQUS results of rotation for the S4F composite connection.....	72
Figure 3.12: Comparison of rotational and conventional spring's models with test results for test S4F	74
Figure 3.13: Comparison rotational and conventional spring's models with test results for test S8F	74
Figure 3.14: Comparison rotational and conventional spring's models with test results for test S12F	75
Figure 3.15: The effect of k_c on rotational stiffness	77
Figure 3.16: The effect of k_b on rotational stiffness.....	78
Figure 3.17: The effect of k_r on rotational stiffness	79
Figure 3.18: The effect of k_s on rotational stiffness	79
Figure 3.19: Load-slip curves for shear stud.....	86
Figure 3.20: Comparison of results using the proposed and Eurocode 4 procedures to calculate k_s and k_r	92
Figure 3.21: Comparison of results using the proposed and Eurocode 4 procedures to calculate k_s and k_r (after sorting the results in ascending order).....	93
Figure 3.22: Comparison of the proposed procedure with other procedures to calculate the rotational stiffness of a flush end-plate composite connection	96
Figure 3.23: Comparison of the proposed procedure with other procedures to calculate the rotational stiffness of a flush end-plate composite connection (after sorting the results in ascending order)	96
Figure 4.1: Variation of α with h_{sc}/d for constant diameters of shear stud	100
Figure 4.2: Variation of α with h_{sc} or d for constant diameters or heights of the shear stud	100
Figure 4.3: Forces and deformation of shear stud.....	101
Figure 4.4: Values of γ for different compressive strengths of the surrounding concrete	103
Figure 4.5: Load-slip curves (experimental and numerical) of studs (22 mm diameter, 100mm high) for different compressive strength of concrete	106
Figure 4.6: Load-slip curves (experimental and numerical) of studs (19 mm diameter, 100mm high) for different compressive strength of concrete	106

Figure 4.7: Load-slip curves (experimental and numerical) of studs (16 mm diameter, 85 mm high) for different compressive strength of concrete	107
Figure 4.8: Load-slip curves (experimental and numerical) of studs (13 mm diameter, 65 mm high) for different compressive strength of concrete	107
Figure 4.9: Comparison of experimental and numerical curves for different compressive strength of concrete across four models ($d=22\text{mm}$).....	108
Figure 4.10: Comparison of experimental and numerical curves for different compressive strength of concrete across four models ($d=19\text{mm}$).....	109
Figure 4.11: Comparison of experimental and numerical curves for different compressive strength of concrete across four models ($d=16\text{mm}$).....	109
Figure 4.12: Comparison of experimental and numerical curves for different compressive strength of concrete across four models ($d=13\text{mm}$).....	110
Figure 4.13: Secant stiffness-strength-concrete strength relationship for stud shear-connector with different d/h_{sc}	113
Figure 4.14: Secant stiffness-strength-concrete strength relationship for large shear stud connectors	114
Figure 4.15: Multi-linear model ($F_{sc,max}=128.7\text{ kN}$) by (Queiroz et al., 2009).....	115
Figure 4.16: Tri-linear model by (Titoum et al., 2008).....	115
Figure 4.17: Proposed multi-linear model of load-slip curve	117
Figure 4.18: Comparison of the proposed model with experimental load-slip curves .	117
Figure 5.1: Typical composite connection	119
Figure 5.3: Idealised behaviour of a RC tension member: (a) average stress–strain relationship of a reinforcement (b) average stress–strain relationship of concrete.....	124
Figure 5.2: Typical Stress-strain relationship for plain concrete	
Figure 5.4: Tension-stiffening development (for $F_{s,T}>F_{s,cr}$)	127
Figure 5.5: Simplified load-strain relationships for bare and embedded reinforcement	127
Figure 5.6: Test arrangement (Tamai et al., 1988).....	130
Figure 5.7: Comparison of the stress in a steel bar of a reinforced concrete member and in a steel bar alone using the analytical procedure (specimen No.1).....	130
Figure 5.8: Comparison of analytical and experimental results for a reinforced concrete member (specimen No.1).....	131
Figure 5.9: Comparison of stress of a steel bar in reinforced concrete member and a steel bar alone using an analytical procedure (specimen No. 6).....	131

Figure 5.10: Comparison of analytical and experimental results for a reinforced concrete member (specimen No. 6).....	131
Figure 5.11: Stress-strain relationship of the (RCC).....	
Figure 5.12: Proposed model for a composite slab.....	135
Figure 5.13: Simplified proposed spring model.....	
Figure 5.14: Force-deformation relationship for an (RCC) spring.....	
Figure 5.15: Approximate force-displacement relationship for an (RCC) spring.....	
Figure 5.16: Final model for initial stiffness.....	
Figure 5.17: ABAQUS model to verify the proposed analytical expressions. The part of the steel beam shaded in dark cyan (1.97 m) has been modelled by beam elements; the profile effect is due to rendering. The grey part at the right hand end (0.1 m) has been modelled by solid elements.....	140
Figure 5.18: Tensile stresses in the springs from the ABAQUS deformed model.....	141
Figure 5.19: Moment-rotation curve.....	141
Figure 5.20: Distribution of forces in (RCC) springs along a composite connection...	142
Figure 5.21: Distribution of forces acting on shear studs along a composite connection.....	142
Figure 5.22: Distribution of forces in (RCC) springs for increasing applied moments	143
Figure 5.23: Distribution of forces in shear studs for increasing applied moments.....	144
Figure 5.24: Ratio of total reinforcement force in the in every (RCC) spring from total shear force.....	144
Figure 5.25: Ratio from total reinforcement force in every shear stud along the composite beam.....	145
Figure 5.26: Sketch of the set-up used by (Rex and Easterling, 2000).....	146
Figure 5.27: ABAQUS model for a composite slab with shear studs.....	146
Figure 5.28: ABAQUS deformed shape of a composite slab model.....	147
Figure 5.29: Comparison of force-deformation curves for the proposed model and experimental results.....	147
Figure 5.30: a) Load arrangement; b) composite beam section; c) end-plate.....	148
Figure 5.31: Steel end-plate connection.....	149
Figure 5.32: Elastic-plastic model for steel.....	
Figure 5.33: Behaviour of shear studs.....	151
Figure 5.34: The final 3-D finite element model.....	152
Figure 5.35: Deformed shape of the composite connection.....	153

Figure 5.36: Comparison of the moment-rotation curves for experimental and numerical modelling	153
Figure 5.37: Sample of ABAQUS Model for parametric study (20 studs) (same as Figure 5.17, but with different number of studs)	155
Figure 5.38: Distribution of forces in shear studs for $k_{RCC}/k_{sc} = 1.0$	156
Figure 5.39: Distribution of forces in shear studs for $k_{RCC}/k_{sc} = 10$	156
Figure 5.40: Distribution of forces in shear studs for $k_{RCC}/k_{sc} = 50$	157
Figure 5.41: Distribution of forces in shear studs for $k_{RCC}/k_{sc} = 100$	157
Figure 5.42: Distribution of forces in shear studs for $k_{sc} = 150 \text{ kN/mm}$ and $k_{RCC}/k_{sc} = 0.01$ to 100	159
Figure 5.43: First stud force ratio from reinforcement force with varying k_{RCC}/k_{sc}	160
Figure 5.44: Relationship between the maximum number of “active” shear studs and k_{RCC}/k_{sc}	161
Figure 5.45: The proposed mechanical model	
Figure 5.46: Final modified mechanical model	
Figure 6.1: Flowchart for failure mode predication	
Figure 6.2: Proposed mechanical model with free-body diagram	
Figure 6.3: Validity of proposed procedure to calculate the moment resistance of composite connection.....	177
Figure 6.4: Conventional mechanical models: a) Benussi and Noe’s model; (b) Anderson and Najafi’s model; c) Ahmed and Nethercot model	182
Figure 6.5: Mechanical model for calculating the rotations at yield and ultimate.....	
Figure 6.6: Steel frame	194
Figure 6.7: Moment-rotation curve of isolated connections	195
Figure 6.8: Connection classification.....	195
Figure 6.9: Deflected shape of frame	197
Figure 6.10: load-rotation curves of connection in the frame with Conn-1 (the black dash line represents the yield limit of connection and black dots line represent the rotation capacity of connection).....	198
Figure 6.11: load-rotation curves of connection in the frame with Conn-2 ((the black dash line represents the yield limit of connection and black dots line represent the rotation capacity of connection).....	198
Figure 6.12: load-displacement curve of frame	200
Figure 6.13: Moment-Rotation of connection in the frame	201

Figure 6.14: Frame displacement-connection rotation curve.....	201
Figure 6.15: Instant frame ductility-Instant connection ductility relationship.....	202
Figure 7.1: Semi-rigid beam with a general load system.....	
Figure 7.2: Example 1	
Figure 7.3: Natural frequency for beams of uniform mass with different boundary conditions	214
Figure 7.4: Effective length factor [ABAQUS results].....	214
Figure 8.1: Plots of normalized fundamental Natural Frequency versus the joint stiffness ratio. The variable I in the legend indicates the second moment of area of the beam cross-section.....	223
Figure 8.2: Three-bay, six-storey steel frame	225
Figure 8.3: Natural frequencies from the proposed equation and ABAQUS for the rigid connection case.	227
Figure 8.4: Natural frequencies from the proposed equation and ABAQUS for the semi-rigid connection case.....	229
Figure 8.5: Joint stiffness ratio versus the fundamental natural frequency of the steel frame shown in Figure 8.2	231
Figure 8.6: Joint stiffness ratio versus the second natural frequencies of the steel frame shown in Figure 8.2.....	231
Figure 8.7: Joint stiffness ratio versus the third natural frequencies of the steel frame shown in Figure 8.2.....	232
Figure 8.8: Effect of frame parameters on shear-flexural to total periods.....	233
Figure 9.1: Steel frame with multi-degree of freedom.....	
Figure 9.2: Simplified sub-frame used for lateral force analysis	
Figure 9.3: First storey-fixed base	
Figure 9.4: First storey-pinned base.....	
Figure 9.5: Middle storey model.....	
Figure 9.6: Top storey model	
Figure 9.7: Hybrid beam element with connection springs attached.....	
Figure 9.8: Layout of the steel frame	250
Figure 9.9: Relationship between relative stiffness and fundamental natural period ...	253
Figure 9.10: Relationship between relative stiffness and second natural period	254
Figure 9.11: Relationship between relative stiffness and third natural period.....	254

Table of Tables

Table 3.1: Main parameters for a flush end-plate composite connection	53
Table 3.2: Properties of composite connections	69
Table 3.3: Summary results of all connections (M=262 kN-m)	73
Table 3.4: Properties of Cjs-1 composite connection	77
Table 3.5: Percentage of moment for the control components in composite connection	88
Table 3.6: Results of calculations	89
Table 3.7: Adapted procedures for parameters	91
Table 3.8: Comparison of predicted and experimental results.....	94
Table 3.9: Comparison of predicted and other methods	97
Table 4.1: Summary of results	114
Table 5.1: Practical range of properties	154
Table 6.1: Parameters of experimental test of composite connections	168
Table 6.2: Comparison of test and predicted results of moment resistance.....	179
Table 6.3: Comparison between the proposed and test results for rotation at yield	192
Table 6.4: Comparison between proposed and test results for rotation capacity.....	193
Table 7.1: Comparison of the results of the proposed procedure with those given by ABAQUS	213
Table 7.2: Comparison with ABAQUS software for different stiffnesses and loading	215
Table 8.1: Properties of the steel frame shown in Figure 8.2	225
Table 8.2: Result of natural frequencies from the proposed equation and ABAQUS modelling for the rigid connection case	227
Table 8.3: Result of natural frequencies from the proposed equation and ABAQUS modelling for the semi-rigid connection case.	229
Table 8.4: Comparisons of fundamental time period.....	230
Table 9.1: Properties of the steel frame shown in Figure 9.8	251
Table 9.2: Results of natural periods.....	252

Abstract

The concept of semi-rigid connection and steel-concrete composite action has been extensively researched in the past. However, they are not widely used in practice due to the lack of detailed information, not only about the advantages of the semi-rigid design philosophy, but also about the potential risks if its effect is not accounted for. The above considerations were the motivations in taking up this research.

Firstly, a numerical study to investigate the effect of connection stiffness on the natural frequency of semi-rigid frame was carried out using ABAQUS software. The results of this numerical study confirmed the necessity of incorporating this effect to get safe and economical design. Consequently, an analytical procedure for a beam with semi-rigid connections under gravity load was developed which overcomes the limitations of previously published procedures. The frequency of a steel beam was also calculated using effective length concept. Furthermore, two new analytical “hand” calculation methods to estimate the first three frequencies of a semi-rigid frame were developed. Both methods were developed by modifying or improving for existing methods in the literature for rigid-jointed plane steel frame to incorporate the effect of connection stiffness. First method is suitable only for a semi-rigid plane steel frame which has uniform properties along its height so as it can be modelled as equivalent flexural-shear cantilever beam. The proposed second method is suitable for non-uniform plane steel frame. Both the above methods can be extended to composite structure using the equivalent stiffness concept of composite beam. Moreover, examples of steel frame were used to demonstrate the application of the proposed analytical methods. It was shown that the proposed methods not only can predict the difference in frequency of rigid and semi-rigid frames, but they are also simple enough to be used in day-to-day design practices.

Secondly, as the stiffness of connection is essential in the calculation of natural frequency of a semi-rigid frame, a new simple mechanical component-based model was developed to determine the initial rotational stiffness of commonly used flush end-plate steel or composite connection incorporating the partial interaction effect. The traditional axial spring of shear connectors was replaced by rotational spring to make the model suitable to extending further than the linear region. A chart was developed to estimate the appropriate values of the secant stiffness and strength of a shear stud, since the empirical equations that researchers have used in the past can lead to unrealistic results in some cases.

Thirdly, a simplified model, which combined three components of a composite connection in one “lump” component (RCCS), was developed. It can be used in the finite element modelling of a composite connection to overcome the convergence problems associated with cracking of concrete and also it will reduce the computational time significantly with adequate accuracy. A new procedure to determine the number of “active” studs was developed. The relationship between the number of “active” shear studs and the maximum number of shear studs required for a full shear connection was derived.

Finally, the relationship between connection ductility and frame ductility was investigated. It was found that the moment resistance and ductility of connection affect significantly the whole behaviour of a frame. Consequently, a simple flowchart to predict the failure mode of a flush end-plate composite connection was developed. A procedure to estimate the moment resistance of a flush end-plate composite connection by modifying the existing procedures in the literature to incorporate the partial shear connection effect was proposed. Also, the proposed mechanical model was further extended using the appropriate post-linear values of its components in order to calculate the rotational ductility of a connection.

All the suggested procedures have been validated with the numerical results using ABAQUS, the results from other existing models and experimental tests in the literature where available.

Declaration

No portion of the work referred to in the thesis has been submitted in support of an application for another degree or qualification of this or any other university or other institute of learning.

PREVIEW

Copyright Statement

- i. The author of this thesis (including any appendices and/or schedules to this thesis) owns certain copyright or related rights in it (the “Copyright”) and s/he has given The University of Manchester certain rights to use such Copyright, including for administrative purposes.
- ii. Copies of this thesis, either in full or in extracts and whether in hard or electronic copy, may be made only in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (as amended) and regulations issued under it or, where appropriate, in accordance with licensing agreements which the University has from time to time. This page must form part of any such copies made.
- iii. The ownership of certain Copyright, patents, designs, trademarks and other intellectual property (the “Intellectual Property”) and any reproductions of copyright works in the thesis, for example graphs and tables (“Reproductions”), which may be described in this thesis, may not be owned by the author and may be owned by third parties. Such Intellectual Property and Reproductions cannot and must not be made available for use without the prior written permission of the owner(s) of the relevant Intellectual Property and/or Reproductions.
- iv. Further information on the conditions under which disclosure, publication and commercialisation of this thesis, the Copyright and any Intellectual Property and/or Reproductions described in it may take place is available in the University IP Policy (see <http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/DocuInfo.aspx?DocID=487>), in any relevant Thesis restriction declarations deposited in the University Library, The University Library’s regulations (see <http://www.manchester.ac.uk/library/aboutus/regulations>) and in The University’s policy on Presentation of Theses

Acknowledgements

I wish to express my gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Parthasarathi Mandal, for his professionalism in providing guidance and financial support in the course of completing my work.

My deepest appreciation goes to my wife (Uhood) and my daughters (Sara and Blsm) and sons (Mohamed and Ahmed) for their unconditional, endless love and care.

PREVIEW

Notations

Symbol	Definition	Unit
A_b	Area of beam	mm^2
A_{bf}	Area of beam flange	mm^2
A_c	Area of column	mm^2
A_{cf}	Area of column flange	mm^2
A_{cj}	Cross-sectional area of the j -th column	mm^2
A_r	Area of reinforcing bars	mm^2
A_{sc}	Area of a stud	mm^2
A_{vc}	Shear area of the column	mm^2
b_{bf}	Breadth of beam flange	mm
b_{cf}	Width of column flange	mm
$b_{eff,cwc}$	Effective width of column web in compression	mm
c_j	Distance of the j -th column from the centroid of the column assembly	mm
d	Diameter of shear connector	mm
D_b	Distance from the top row of bolts to the centre of the compression zone	mm
d_{cw}	Width of column web	mm
$D_{i,j}$	Lateral stiffness of the i -th storey	N/mm
D_r	Distance from the reinforcement to the centre of the compression zone	mm
d_s	Distance between the centroid of the beam section and the centroid of the reinforcement	mm
E_c	Young's modulus of concrete	N/mm^2
E_m	Mean modulus of elasticity for the embedded reinforcement	N/mm^2
E_{RCC}	Modulus of elasticity of the (RCC) component	N/mm^2
E_s	Young's modulus of steel	N/mm^2
f	Frequency	1/sec
F_b	Tensile force of the top row of bolts	N
F_{base}	Design seismic base shear force	N
F_c	Force in the centre of the compression zone	N
$F_{c,bf,Rd}$	The resistance of beam flange in compression	N
$F_{c,cw,Rd}$	The resistance of column web in compression and buckling	N
$F_{c,t}$	Force of the concrete tension member	N
f_{ck}	Characteristic cylinder compressive strength of concrete	N/mm^2
f_{cm}	Mean compression strength of concrete	N/mm^2
f_{cr}	Crack strength of concrete	N/mm^2
f_{ctm}	Mean tensile strength of concrete	N/mm^2
f_p	Tensile strength of end plate	N/mm^2
F_r	Force in reinforcement	N
$f_{r,u}$	Ultimate strength of reinforcement	N/mm^2
$f_{r,y}$	Yield strength of reinforcement	N/mm^2

F_{RCC}	Force in the (RCC) component	N
F_s	Force acting on the studs	N
$F_{s,T}$	Tensile force of a reinforced concrete uncracked member	N
$F_{sc,k}$	Characteristic resistance of the shear stud	N
$F_{sc,max}$	Ultimate shear strength of the shear stud	N
$F_{sc,y}$	Yield force of shear connector	N
f_u	Ultimate tensile strength of shear stud	N/mm ²
$f_{y,cw}$	Yield strength of column web	N/mm ²
G	Shear modulus	N/mm ²
h	Storey height	mm
H_b	Depth of the steel beam	mm
h_c	Depth of column	mm
h_d	Bending deflection height of the shear connector	mm
h_{sc}	Height of shear connector	mm
h_{sl}	Thickness of the concrete slab	mm
I	Second moment of area of the steel beam	mm ⁴
\bar{I}_b	Modified second moment of area of a beam	mm ⁴
J	Lowest integer number of shear studs for full shear connection	-
k	characteristic parameter account for the effect of axial rigidity	-
k_b	Stiffness of bolt	N/mm
k_{bfc}	Stiffness of bottom flange of beam in compression	N/mm
k_{bt}	Stiffness of top row bolts in tension.	N/mm
k_{bwt}	Stiffness of beam web in tension	N/mm
k_c	Stiffness of a group of components in series at the level of the centre of the compression	N/mm
k_{cfb}	Stiffness of column flange in bending	N/mm
k_{cwc}	Stiffness of column web in compression	N/mm
k_{cws}	Stiffness of column web panel in shear	N/mm
k_{cwt}	Stiffness of column web in tension	N/mm
k_{pb}	Stiffness of end-plate in bending	N/mm
k_r	Stiffness of reinforcement in the concrete slab.	N/mm
k_{RCC}	Stiffness of an (RCC) spring	N/mm
k_s	Stiffness of shear connection; Stiffness of connection spring	N/mm
$k_{s,p}$	Plastic stiffness of shear connectors	N/mm
k_{sc}	Secant stiffness of one shear connector	N/mm
K_{slab}	Stiffness of composite slab in composite connection	N/mm
L_b	Length of the beam under hogging bending moment adjacent to the connection	mm
l_{bi}	Span of the beam in the i -th bay	mm
l_r	Effective length of bars	mm
l_{RCC}	Length of the (RCC) component	mm
L_t	Transmission length of crack	mm

m	Mass per unit length of beam	kg/mm
M_F	Fixed end moment in beam	N-mm
$M_{j,c}$	Moment of composite connection	N-mm
$M_{j,Rd}$	Moment resistance of connection	N-mm
$M_{j,s}$	Moment of bare steel connection	N-mm
$M_{j,sw}$	Moment resistance of steelwork in composite connection	N-mm
$M_{j,y}$	Yield moment of connection	N-mm
N_{act}	Number of active shear studs	-
N_{full}	Maximum number of shear studs for a full shear connection	-
N_{sc}	Number of studs in the hogging moment region	-
N_{spr}	Number of (RCC) springs in series between any two consecutive shear studs	-
p	Spacing between shear studs	mm
p_0	Distance between the column face and the first shear stud	mm
q	behaviour factor	-
R_b	Strength force of top row of bolts	N
R_r	Resistance of reinforcement	N
R_s	Resistance of shear connectors	N
$S_d(T_1)$	The ordinate of the design spectrum at period, T_1	m/sec ²
$S_{j,c}$	Rotational stiffness of composite connection	N-mm/rad
$S_{j,s}$	Rotational stiffness of bare steel connection	N-mm/rad
$S_{j,sw}$	Stiffness of steelwork in composite connection	N-mm/rad
s_{sc}	Slip of shear connector	mm
$s_{sc,c}$	Slip capacity of shear connector	mm
$s_{sc,u}$	Ultimate slip of shear connector	mm
t_{bf}	Thickness of beam flange	mm
t_{bw}	Thickness of beam web	mm
t_{cf}	Thickness of column flange	mm
t_{cw}	Thickness of column web	mm
t_p	Thickness of end plate	mm
V_i	Shear force in the i -th storey	N
w_{slab}	Width of concrete slab	mm
z	Lever arm between the compressive and the tensile area	mm
H	Height of building	m
I_{bi}	Second moment of area of the beam in the i -th bay	mm ⁴
I_{cj}	Second moment of area of the j -th column	mm ⁴
T	Time period	sec
T_{sf}	Time period of coupled shear-flexural vibration	sec
κ	Effective length factor	-
μ_f	Ductility of frame. and	-
μ_j	Rotational ductility of connection	-
β	Interaction parameter	-

Δ_b	Extension of the top row of bolts	mm
Δ_c	Extension of a group of components at the level of the centre of the compression	mm
Δ_r	Extension of reinforcement	mm
δ_{red}	Reduction in deflection	mm
Δ_s	Slip of shear connection	mm
Δ_u	Top horizontal displacement at ultimate load	mm
Δ_y	Top horizontal displacement at first yield	mm
$\Delta\varepsilon_{sr}$	Increase of embedded reinforcement strain in the cracking state	-
ε_{cr}	Crack strain of concrete	-
ε_{smu}	Ultimate strain for embedded reinforcement	-
ε_{smy}	Yield strain for embedded reinforcement	-
ε_{su}	Ultimate strain of bare reinforcement	-
ε_{sy}	Yield strain of bare reinforcement	-
η	Degree of shear connection	-
κ	shape factor	-
λ	Correction factor that depends on T_1 and number of storeys; Eigenvalue of the free shear flexural vibration of a prismatic cantilever	-
ν	Poisson's ratio	-
τ_{sm}	Average bond stress along the transmission length	N/mm ²
φ_{comp}	Rotation due to the deformation of connection's components	mrad
φ_{sh}	Rotation due to shear panel of column web	mrad
ω	Angular frequency	rad/sec
α	Parameter account for the effect of flexural and shear rigidity of the assumed cantilever	1/mm ²
$\phi_{j,c}$	Rotation capacity of connection	mrad
$\phi_{j,ult}$	Rotation of connection at ultimate	mrad
$\phi_{j,y}$	Rotation of connection at yield	mrad
η_u	Shear connection ratio based on the ultimate strength of reinforcement	-
η_y	Shear connection ratio based on the yield strength of reinforcement	-
λ_f	Eigenvalue of purely flexural vibration	-
λ_{sf}	Eigenvalue of coupled shear-flexural vibration	-
ρ	reinforcement ratio	-
$\sigma_{c,t}$	Stress of the concrete in tension member	N/mm ²
σ_r	Stress of the reinforcement in tension member	N/mm ²